

RESEARCH

Open Access

Some coupled fixed-point theorems in two quasi-partial metric spaces

Feng Gu¹ and Lin Wang^{2*}

*Correspondence:

WL64mail@aliyun.com

²College of Statistics and Mathematics, Yunnan University of Finance and Economics, Kunming, Yunnan 650221, China
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article**Abstract**

The purpose of this paper is to prove some new coupled common fixed-point theorems for mappings defined on a set equipped with two quasi-partial metrics. We also provide illustrative examples in support of our new results.

MSC: 47H10; 54H25**Keywords:** common coupled fixed point; coupled coincidence point; w -compatible mapping pairs; quasi-partial metric space

1 Introduction and preliminaries

In 1994, Matthews [1] introduced the notion of partial metric spaces as follows.

Definition 1.1 [1] A *partial metric* on a nonempty set X is a function $p : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$:

$$(p1) \quad x = y \Leftrightarrow p(x, x) = p(x, y) = p(y, y),$$

$$(p2) \quad p(x, x) \leq p(x, y),$$

$$(p3) \quad p(x, y) = p(y, x),$$

$$(p4) \quad p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y) - p(z, z).$$

A partial metric space is a pair (X, p) such that X is a nonempty set and p is a partial metric on X .

In [1], Matthews extended the Banach contraction principle from metric spaces to partial metric spaces. Based on the notion of partial metric spaces, several authors (for example, [2–32]) obtained some fixed-point results for mappings satisfying different contractive conditions. Very recently, Haghi *et al.* [33] showed in their interesting paper that some fixed-point theorems in partial metric spaces can be obtained from metric spaces.

Karapinar *et al.* [34] introduced the concept of quasi-partial metric spaces and studied some fixed-point problems on quasi-partial metric spaces. The notion of a quasi-partial metric space is defined as follows.

Definition 1.2 [34] A *quasi-partial metric* on nonempty set X is a function $q : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ which satisfies:

$$(QPM_1) \quad \text{If } q(x, x) = q(x, y) = q(y, y), \text{ then } x = y,$$

$$(QPM_2) \quad q(x, x) \leq q(x, y),$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\text{QPM}_3) \quad & q(x, x) \leq q(y, x), \text{ and} \\(\text{QPM}_4) \quad & q(x, y) + q(z, z) \leq q(x, z) + q(z, y)\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

A *quasi-partial metric space* is a pair (X, q) such that X is a nonempty set and q is a quasi-partial metric on X .

Let q be a quasi-partial metric on set X . Then

$$d_q(x, y) = q(x, y) + q(y, x) - q(x, x) - q(y, y)$$

is a metric on X .

Definition 1.3 [34] Let (X, q) be a quasi-partial metric space. Then

- (i) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ *converges* to a point $x \in X$ if and only if

$$q(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q(x, x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q(x_n, x).$$

- (ii) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called a *Cauchy sequence* if $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q(x_n, x_m)$ and $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q(x_m, x_n)$ exist (and are finite).
(iii) The quasi-partial metric space (X, q) is said to be *complete* if every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges, with respect to τ_q , to a point $x \in X$ such that

$$q(x, x) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q(x_n, x_m) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q(x_n, x_m).$$

Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [35] introduced the concept of a coupled fixed point and studied some nice coupled fixed-point theorems. Later, Lakshmikantham and Ćirić [36] introduced the notion of a coupled coincidence point of mappings. For some works on a coupled fixed point, we refer the reader to [37–62].

Definition 1.4 [35] Let X be a nonempty set. We call an element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ a *coupled fixed point* of the mapping $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ if $F(x, y) = x$ and $F(y, x) = y$.

Definition 1.5 [36] An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called

- (i) a *coupled coincidence point* of the mapping $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ if $F(x, y) = gx$ and $F(y, x) = gy$; in this case (gx, gy) is called *coupled point of coincidence* of mappings F and g ;
(ii) a *common coupled fixed point* of mappings $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ if $F(x, y) = gx = x$ and $F(y, x) = gy = y$;
(iii) a *common coupled fixed point* of mappings $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ if $F(x, y) = gx = x$ and $F(y, x) = gy = y$.

Abbas *et al.* [37] introduced the concept of w -compatible mappings as follows.

Definition 1.6 [37] Let X be a nonempty set. We say that the mappings $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ are *w-compatible* if $gF(x, y) = F(gx, gy)$ whenever $gx = F(x, y)$ and $gy = F(y, x)$.

Very recently, Shatanawi and Pitea [38] obtained some common coupled fixed-point results for a pair of mappings in quasi-partial metric space.

Theorem 1.1 (see [38, Theorem 2.1]) *Let (X, q) be a quasi-partial metric space, $g : X \rightarrow X$ and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. Suppose that there exist k_1, k_2 , and k_3 in $[0, 1)$ with $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 < 1$ such that the condition*

$$\begin{aligned} & q(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + q(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \\ & \leq k_1 [q(gx, gu) + q(gy, gv)] + k_2 [q(gx, F(x, y)) + q(gy, F(y, x))] \\ & \quad + k_3 [q(gu, F(u, v)) + q(gv, F(v, u))] \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

holds for all $x, y, u, v \in X$. Also, suppose we have the following hypotheses:

(i) $F(X \times X) \subset g(X)$.

(ii) $g(X)$ is a complete subspace of X with respect to the quasi-partial metric q .

Then the mappings F and g have a coincidence point (x, y) satisfying $gx = F(x, y)$ and $gy = F(y, x)$.

Moreover, if F and g are w -compatible, then F and g have a unique common coupled fixed point of the form (x, x) .

The aim of this article is to prove some new coupled common fixed-point theorems for mappings defined on a set equipped with two quasi-partial metrics.

The following lemma is crucial in our work.

Lemma 1.1 [38] *Let (X, q) be a quasi-partial metric space. Then the following statements hold true:*

(i) *If $q(x, y) = 0$, then $x = y$.*

(ii) *If $x \neq y$, then $q(x, y) > 0$ and $q(y, x) > 0$.*

In this manuscript, we generalize, improve, enrich, and extend the above coupled common fixed-point results. We also state some examples to illustrate our results. This paper can be considered as a continuation of the remarkable works of Aydi [12], Karapinar *et al.* [34], and Shatanawi and Pitea [38].

2 Main results

Now we shall prove our main results.

Theorem 2.1 *Let q_1 and q_2 be two quasi-metrics on X such that $q_2(x, y) \leq q_1(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$, and let $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$, $g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. Suppose that there exist k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 , and k_5 in $[0, 1)$ with*

$$k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + 2k_4 + k_5 < 1 \quad (2.1)$$

such that the condition

$$\begin{aligned} & q_1(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + q_1(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \\ & \leq k_1 [q_2(gx, gu) + q_2(gy, gv)] + k_2 [q_2(gx, F(x, y)) + q_2(gy, F(y, x))] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ k_3[q_2(gu, F(u, v)) + q_2(gv, F(v, u))] + k_4[q_2(gx, F(u, v)) + q_2(gy, F(v, u))] \\
 &+ k_5[q_2(gu, F(x, y)) + q_2(gv, F(y, x))]
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

holds for all $x, y, u, v \in X$. Also, suppose we have the following hypotheses:

(i) $F(X \times X) \subset g(X)$.

(ii) $g(X)$ is a complete subspace of X with respect to the quasi-partial metric q_1 .

Then the mappings F and g have a coincidence point (x, y) satisfying $gx = F(x, y) = F(y, x) = gy$.

Moreover, if F and g are w -compatible, then F and g have a unique common coupled fixed point of the form (u, u) .

Proof Let $x_0, y_0 \in X$. Since $F(X \times X) \subset g(X)$, we can choose $x_1, y_1 \in X$ such that $gx_1 = F(x_0, y_0)$ and $gy_1 = F(y_0, x_0)$. Similarly, we can choose $x_2, y_2 \in X$ such that $gx_2 = F(x_1, y_1)$ and $gy_2 = F(y_1, x_1)$. Continuing in this way we construct two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$gx_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n) \quad \text{and} \quad gy_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n), \quad \forall n \geq 0. \tag{2.3}$$

It follows from (2.2) and (QPM₄) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &q_1(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + q_1(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) \\
 &= q_1(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), F(x_n, y_n)) + q_1(F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), F(y_n, x_n)) \\
 &\leq k_1[q_2(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + q_2(gy_{n-1}, gy_n)] \\
 &\quad + k_2[q_2(gx_{n-1}, F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})) + q_2(gy_{n-1}, F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}))] \\
 &\quad + k_3[q_2(gx_n, F(x_n, y_n)) + q_2(gy_n, F(y_n, x_n))] \\
 &\quad + k_4[q_2(gx_{n-1}, F(x_n, y_n)) + q_2(gy_{n-1}, F(y_n, x_n))] \\
 &\quad + k_5[q_2(gx_n, F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})) + q_2(gy_n, F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}))] \\
 &= (k_1 + k_2)[q_2(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + q_2(gy_{n-1}, gy_n)] + k_3[q_2(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + q_2(gy_n, gy_{n+1})] \\
 &\quad + k_4[q_2(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n+1}) + q_2(gy_{n-1}, gy_{n+1})] + k_5[q_2(gx_n, gx_n) + q_2(gy_n, gy_n)] \\
 &\leq (k_1 + k_2)[q_2(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + q_2(gy_{n-1}, gy_n)] + k_3[q_2(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + q_2(gy_n, gy_{n+1})] \\
 &\quad + k_4[q_2(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + q_2(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) - q_2(gx_n, gx_n) + q_2(gy_{n-1}, gy_n) + q_2(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) \\
 &\quad - q_2(gy_n, gy_n)] + k_5[q_2(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + q_2(gy_n, gy_{n+1})] \\
 &\leq (k_1 + k_2 + k_4)[q_2(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + q_2(gy_{n-1}, gy_n)] \\
 &\quad + (k_3 + k_4 + k_5)[q_2(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + q_2(gy_n, gy_{n+1})] \\
 &\leq (k_1 + k_2 + k_4)[q_1(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + q_1(gy_{n-1}, gy_n)] \\
 &\quad + (k_3 + k_4 + k_5)[q_1(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + q_1(gy_n, gy_{n+1})],
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$q_1(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + q_1(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) \leq \frac{k_1 + k_2 + k_4}{1 - k_3 - k_4 - k_5} [q_1(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + q_1(gy_{n-1}, gy_n)]. \quad (2.4)$$

Put $k = \frac{k_1 + k_2 + k_4}{1 - k_3 - k_4 - k_5}$. Obviously, $0 \leq k < 1$. By repetition of the above inequality (2.4) n times, we get

$$q_1(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + q_1(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) \leq k^n [q_1(gx_0, gx_1) + q_1(gy_0, gy_1)]. \quad (2.5)$$

Next, we shall prove that $\{gx_n\}$ and $\{gy_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in $g(X)$.

In fact, for each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m > n$, from (QPM₄) and (2.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(gx_n, gx_m) + q_1(gy_n, gy_m) &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} [q_1(gx_i, gx_{i+1}) + q_1(gy_i, gy_{i+1})] \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} k^i [q_1(gx_0, gx_1) + q_1(gy_0, gy_1)] \\ &\leq \frac{k^n}{1 - k} [q_1(gx_0, gx_1) + q_1(gy_0, gy_1)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} [q_1(gx_n, gx_m) + q_1(gy_n, gy_m)] = 0,$$

and so

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gx_n, gx_m) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gy_n, gy_m) = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

By similar arguments as above, we can show that

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gx_m, gx_n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gy_m, gy_n) = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Hence $\{gx_n\}$ and $\{gy_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in (gX, q_1) . Since (gX, q_1) is complete, there exist $gx, gy \in g(X)$ such that $\{gx_n\}$ and $\{gy_n\}$ converge to gx and gy with respect to τ_{q_1} , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(gx, gx) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gx, gx_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gx_n, gx) \\ &= \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gx_m, gx_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gx_n, gx_m) \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(gy, gy) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gy, gy_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gy_n, gy) \\ &= \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gy_m, gy_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gy_n, gy_m). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Combining (2.7)-(2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(gx, gx) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gx, gx_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gx_n, gx) \\ &= \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gx_m, gx_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gx_n, gx_m) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(gy, gy) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gy, gy_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gy_n, gy) \\ &= \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gy_m, gy_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gy_n, gy_m) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

By (QPM₄) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y)) &\leq q_1(gx_{n+1}, gx) + q_1(gx, F(x, y)) - q_1(gx, gx) \\ &\leq q_1(gx_{n+1}, gx) + q_1(gx, F(x, y)) \\ &\leq q_1(gx_{n+1}, gx) + q_1(gx, gx_{n+1}) + q_1(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y)) - q_1(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) \\ &\leq q_1(gx_{n+1}, gx) + q_1(gx, gx_{n+1}) + q_1(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequalities and using (2.11), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y)) \leq q_1(gx, F(x, y)) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y)).$$

That is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y)) = q_1(gx, F(x, y)). \quad (2.13)$$

Similarly, using (2.12) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_1(gy_{n+1}, F(y, x)) = q_1(gy, F(y, x)). \quad (2.14)$$

Now we prove that $F(x, y) = gx$ and $F(y, x) = gy$. In fact, it follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} &q_1(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y)) + q_1(gy_{n+1}, F(y, x)) \\ &= q_1(F(x_n, y_n), F(x, y)) + q_1(F(y_n, x_n)) \\ &\leq k_1[q_2(gx_n, gx) + q_2(gy_n, gy)] + k_2[q_2(gx_n, F(x_n, y_n)) + q_2(gy_n, F(y_n, x_n))] \\ &\quad + k_3[q_2(gx, F(x, y)) + q_2(gy, F(y, x))] + k_4[q_2(gx_n, F(x, y)) + q_2(gy_n, F(y, x))] \\ &\quad + k_5[q_2(gx, F(x_n, y_n)) + q_2(gy, F(y_n, x_n))] \\ &= k_1[q_2(gx_n, gx) + q_2(gy_n, gy)] + k_2[q_2(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + q_2(gy_n, gy_{n+1})] \\ &\quad + k_3[q_2(gx, F(x, y)) + q_2(gy, F(y, x))] + k_4[q_2(gx_n, F(x, y)) + q_2(gy_n, F(y, x))] \\ &\quad + k_5[q_2(gx, gx_{n+1}) + q_2(gy, gy_{n+1})] \\ &\leq k_1[q_2(gx_n, gx) + q_2(gy_n, gy)] + k_2[q_1(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + q_1(gy_n, gy_{n+1})] \end{aligned}$$

$$+ k_3 [q_1(gx, F(x, y)) + q_1(gy, F(y, x))] + k_4 [q_1(gx_n, F(x, y)) + q_1(gy_n, F(y, x))] \\ + k_5 [q_1(gx, gx_{n+1}) + q_1(gy, gy_{n+1})].$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, using (2.11)-(2.14), we obtain

$$q_1(gx, F(x, y)) + q_1(gy, F(y, x)) \leq (k_3 + k_4) [q_1(gx, F(x, y)) + q_1(gy, F(y, x))]. \quad (2.15)$$

By (2.1) we have $k_3 + k_4 < 1$. Hence, it follows from (2.15) that $q_1(gx, F(x, y)) = q_1(gy, F(y, x)) = 0$. By Lemma 1.1, we get $F(x, y) = gx$ and $F(y, x) = gy$. Hence, (gx, gy) is a coupled point of coincidence of mappings F and g .

Next, we will show that the coupled point of coincidence is unique. Suppose that $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ with $F(x^*, y^*) = gx^*$ and $F(y^*, x^*) = gy^*$. Using (2.2), (2.11), (2.12), and (QPM₃), we obtain

$$q_1(gx, gx^*) + q_1(gy, gy^*) \\ = q_1(F(x, y), F(x^*, y^*)) + q_1(F(y, x), F(y^*, x^*)) \\ \leq k_1 [q_2(gx, gx^*) + q_2(gy, gy^*)] + k_2 [q_2(gx, F(x, y)) + q_2(gy, F(y, x))] \\ + k_3 [q_2(gx^*, F(x^*, y^*)) + q_2(gy^*, F(y^*, x^*))] \\ + k_4 [q_2(gx, F(x^*, y^*)) + q_2(gy, F(y^*, x^*))] \\ + k_5 [q_2(gx^*, F(x, y)) + q_2(gy^*, F(y, x))] \\ = k_1 [q_2(gx, gx^*) + q_2(gy, gy^*)] + k_2 [q_2(gx, gx) + q_2(gy, gy)] \\ + k_3 [q_2(gx^*, gx^*) + q_2(gy^*, gy^*)] + k_4 [q_2(gx, gx^*) + q_2(gy, gy^*)] \\ + k_5 [q_2(gx^*, gx) + q_2(gy^*, gy)] \\ \leq (k_1 + k_4) [q_1(gx, gx^*) + q_1(gy, gy^*)] + k_2 [q_1(gx, gx) + q_1(gy, gy)] \\ + k_3 [q_1(gx^*, gx^*) + q_1(gy^*, gy^*)] + k_5 [q_1(gx^*, gx) + q_1(gy^*, gy)] \\ \leq (k_1 + k_3 + k_4) [q_1(gx, gx^*) + q_1(gy, gy^*)] \\ + k_5 [q_1(gx^*, gx) + q_1(gy^*, gy)].$$

This implies that

$$q_1(gx, gx^*) + q_1(gy, gy^*) \leq \frac{k_5}{1 - k_1 - k_3 - k_4} \cdot [q_1(gx^*, gx) + q_1(gy^*, gy)]. \quad (2.16)$$

Similarly, we have

$$q_1(gx^*, gx) + q_1(gy^*, gy) \leq \frac{k_5}{1 - k_1 - k_3 - k_4} \cdot [q_1(gx, gx^*) + q_1(gy, gy^*)]. \quad (2.17)$$

Substituting (2.17) into (2.16), we obtain

$$q_1(gx, gx^*) + q_1(gy, gy^*) \leq \left(\frac{k_5}{1 - k_1 - k_3 - k_4} \right)^2 \cdot [q_1(gx, gx^*) + q_1(gy, gy^*)]. \quad (2.18)$$

Since $\frac{k_5}{1-k_1-k_3-k_4} < 1$, from (2.18), we must have $q_1(gx, gx^*) = q_1(gy, gy^*) = 0$. By Lemma 1.1, we get $gx = gx^*$ and $gy = gy^*$, which implies the uniqueness of the coupled point of coincidence of F and g , that is, (gx, gy) .

Next, we will show that $gx = gy$. In fact, from (2.2), (2.11), and (2.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & q_1(gx, gy) + q_1(gy, gx) \\
 &= q_1(F(x, y), F(y, x)) + q_1(F(y, x), F(x, y)) \\
 &\leq k_1[q_2(gx, gy) + q_2(gy, gx)] + k_2[q_2(gx, F(x, y)) + q_2(gy, F(y, x))] \\
 &\quad + k_3[q_2(gy, F(y, x)) + q_2(gx, F(x, y))] + k_4[q_2(gx, F(y, x)) + q_2(gy, F(x, y))] \\
 &\quad + k_5[q_2(gy, F(x, y)) + q_2(gx, F(y, x))] \\
 &= k_1[q_2(gx, gy) + q_2(gy, gx)] + k_2[q_2(gx, gx) + q_2(gy, gy)] \\
 &\quad + k_3[q_2(gy, gy) + q_2(gx, gx)] + k_4[q_2(gx, gy) + q_2(gy, gx)] \\
 &\quad + k_5[q_2(gy, gx) + q_2(gx, gy)] \\
 &\leq k_1[q_1(gx, gy) + q_1(gy, gx)] + k_2[q_1(gx, gx) + q_1(gy, gy)] \\
 &\quad + k_3[q_1(gy, gy) + q_1(gx, gx)] + k_4[q_1(gx, gy) + q_1(gy, gx)] \\
 &\quad + k_5[q_1(gy, gx) + q_1(gx, gy)] \\
 &= (k_1 + k_4 + k_5)[q_1(gx, gy) + q_1(gy, gx)]. \tag{2.19}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $k_1 + k_4 + k_5 < 1$, we have $q_1(gx, gy) = q_1(gy, gx) = 0$. By Lemma 1.1, we get $gx = gy$.

Finally, assume that g and F are w -compatible. Let $u = gx$, then we have $u = gx = F(x, y) = gy = F(y, x)$, so that

$$gu = ggx = g(F(x, y)) = F(gx, gy) = F(u, u). \tag{2.20}$$

Consequently, (u, u) is a coupled coincidence point of F and g , and therefore (gu, gu) is a coupled point of coincidence of F and g , and by its uniqueness, we get $gu = gx$. Thus, we obtain $F(u, u) = gu = u$. Therefore, (u, u) is the unique common coupled fixed point of F and g . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

In Theorem 2.1, if we take $q_1(x, y) = q_2(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, then we get the following.

Corollary 2.1 *Let (X, q) be a quasi-partial metric space, $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. Suppose that there exist k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 and k_5 in $[0, 1)$ with $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + 2k_4 + k_5 < 1$ such that the condition*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & q(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + q(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \\
 &\leq k_1[q(gx, gu) + q(gy, gv)] + k_2[q(gx, F(x, y)) + q(gy, F(y, x))] \\
 &\quad + k_3[q(gu, F(u, v)) + q(gv, F(v, u))] + k_4[q(gx, F(u, v)) + q(gy, F(v, u))] \\
 &\quad + k_5[q(gu, F(x, y)) + q(gv, F(y, x))] \tag{2.21}
 \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $x, y, u, v \in X$. Also, suppose we have the following hypotheses:

(i) $F(X \times X) \subset g(X)$.

(ii) $g(X)$ is a complete subspace of X with respect to the quasi-partial metric q .

Then the mappings F and g have a coincidence point (x, y) satisfying $gx = F(x, y) = F(y, x) = gy$.

Moreover, if F and g are w -compatible, then F and g have a unique common coupled fixed point of the form (u, u) .

Remark 2.1 Corollary 2.1 improve and extend Theorem 2.1 of Shatanawi and Pitea [38]; the contractive condition defined by (1.1) is replaced by the new contractive condition defined by (2.23).

Corollary 2.2 Let q_1 and q_2 be two quasi-metrics on X such that $q_2(x, y) \leq q_1(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$, and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X, g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. Suppose that there exist $a_i \in [0, 1)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 10$) with

$$a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 + 2(a_7 + a_8) + a_9 + a_{10} < 1 \quad (2.22)$$

such that the condition

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(F(x, y), F(u, v)) &\leq a_1 q_2(gx, gu) + a_2 q_2(gy, gv) + a_3 q_2(gx, F(x, y)) + a_4 q_2(gy, F(y, x)) \\ &\quad + a_5 q_2(gu, F(u, v)) + a_6 q_2(gv, F(v, u)) + a_7 q_2(gx, F(u, v)) + a_8 q_2(gy, F(v, u)) \\ &\quad + a_9 q_2(gu, F(x, y)) + a_{10} q_2(gv, F(y, x)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

holds for all $x, y, u, v \in X$. Also, suppose we have the following hypotheses:

(i) $F(X \times X) \subset g(X)$.

(ii) $g(X)$ is a complete subspace of X with respect to the quasi-partial metric q_1 .

Then the mappings F and g have a coincidence point (x, y) satisfying $gx = F(x, y) = F(y, x) = gy$.

Moreover, if F and g are w -compatible, then F and g have a unique common coupled fixed point of the form (u, u) .

Proof Given $x, y, u, v \in X$. It follows from (2.23) that

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(F(x, y), F(u, v)) &\leq a_1 q_2(gx, gu) + a_2 q_2(gy, gv) + a_3 q_2(gx, F(x, y)) + a_4 q_2(gy, F(y, x)) \\ &\quad + a_5 q_2(gu, F(u, v)) + a_6 q_2(gv, F(v, u)) + a_7 q_2(gx, F(u, v)) + a_8 q_2(gy, F(v, u)) \\ &\quad + a_9 q_2(gu, F(x, y)) + a_{10} q_2(gv, F(y, x)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(F(y, x), F(v, u)) &\leq a_1 q_2(gy, gv) + a_2 q_2(gx, gu) + a_3 q_2(gy, F(y, x)) + a_4 q_2(gx, F(x, y)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ a_5 q_2(gv, F(v, u)) + a_6 q_2(gu, F(u, v)) \\
 &+ a_7 q_2(gy, F(v, u)) + a_8 q_2(gx, F(u, v)) \\
 &+ a_9 q_2(gv, F(y, x)) + a_{10} q_2(gu, F(x, y)).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

Adding inequality (2.24) to inequality (2.25), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &q_1(q_1(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + F(y, x), F(v, u)) \\
 &\leq (a_1 + a_2)[q_2(gx, gu) + q_2(gy, gv)] + (a_3 + a_4)[q_2(gx, F(x, y)) + q_2(gy, F(y, x))] \\
 &\quad + (a_5 + a_6)[q_2(gu, F(u, v)) + q_2(gv, F(v, u))] \\
 &\quad + (a_7 + a_8)[q_2(gx, F(u, v)) + q_2(gy, F(v, u))] \\
 &\quad + (a_9 + a_{10})[q_2(gu, F(x, y)) + q_2(gv, F(y, x))].
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Therefore, the result follows from Theorem 2.1. \square

Remark 2.2 If we take $q_1(x, y) = q_2(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $a_7 = a_8 = a_9 = a_{10} = 0$, then Corollary 2.2 is reduced to Corollary 2.1 of Shatanawi and Pitea [38].

Corollary 2.3 Let q_1 and q_2 be two quasi-metrics on X such that $q_2(x, y) \leq q_1(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$, and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X, g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. Suppose that there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ such that the condition

$$q_1(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + q_1(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \leq k[q_2(gx, gu) + q_2(gy, gv)] \tag{2.27}$$

holds for all $x, y, u, v \in X$. Also, suppose we have the following hypotheses:

- (i) $F(X \times X) \subset g(X)$.
- (ii) $g(X)$ is a complete subspace of X with respect to the quasi-partial metric q_1 .

Then the mappings F and g have a coincidence point (x, y) satisfying $gx = F(x, y) = F(y, x) = gy$.

Moreover, if F and g are w -compatible, then F and g have a unique common coupled fixed point of the form (u, u) .

Remark 2.3 If we take $q_1(x, y) = q_2(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, then Corollary 2.3 is reduced to Corollary 2.2 of Shatanawi and Pitea [38].

Corollary 2.4 Let q_1 and q_2 be two quasi-metrics on X such that $q_2(x, y) \leq q_1(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$, and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X, g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. Suppose that there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ such that the condition

$$q_1(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + q_1(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \leq k[q_2(gx, F(x, y)) + q_2(gy, F(y, x))] \tag{2.28}$$

holds for all $x, y, u, v \in X$. Also, suppose we have the following hypotheses:

- (i) $F(X \times X) \subset g(X)$.
- (ii) $g(X)$ is a complete subspace of X with respect to the quasi-partial metric q_1 .

Then the mappings F and g have a coincidence point (x, y) satisfying $gx = F(x, y) = F(y, x) = gy$.

Moreover, if F and g are w -compatible, then F and g have a unique common coupled fixed point of the form (u, u) .

Remark 2.4 If we take $q_1(x, y) = q_2(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, then Corollary 2.4 is reduced to Corollary 2.3 of Shatanawi and Pitea [38].

Corollary 2.5 Let q_1 and q_2 be two quasi-metrics on X such that $q_2(x, y) \leq q_1(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$, and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X, g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. Suppose that there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ such that the condition

$$q_1(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + q(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \leq k[q_2(gu, F(u, v)) + q_2(gv, F(v, u))] \quad (2.29)$$

holds for all $x, y, u, v \in X$. Also, suppose we have the following hypotheses:

- (i) $F(X \times X) \subset g(X)$.
- (ii) $g(X)$ is a complete subspace of X with respect to the quasi-partial metric q_1 .

Then the mappings F and g have a coincidence point (x, y) satisfying $gx = F(x, y) = F(y, x) = gy$.

Moreover, if F and g are w -compatible, then F and g have a unique common coupled fixed point of the form (u, u) .

Remark 2.5 If we take $q_1(x, y) = q_2(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, then Corollary 2.5 is reduced to Corollary 2.4 of Shatanawi and Pitea [38].

Corollary 2.6 Let q_1 and q_2 be two quasi-metrics on X such that $q_2(x, y) \leq q_1(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$, and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X, g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. Suppose that there exists $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that the condition

$$q_1(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + q(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \leq k[q_2(gx, F(u, v)) + q_2(gy, F(v, u))] \quad (2.30)$$

holds for all $x, y, u, v \in X$. Also, suppose we have the following hypotheses:

- (i) $F(X \times X) \subset g(X)$.
- (ii) $g(X)$ is a complete subspace of X with respect to the quasi-partial metric q_1 .

Then the mappings F and g have a coincidence point (x, y) satisfying $gx = F(x, y) = F(y, x) = gy$.

Moreover, if F and g are w -compatible, then F and g have a unique common coupled fixed point of the form (u, u) .

Corollary 2.7 Let q_1 and q_2 be two quasi-metrics on X such that $q_2(x, y) \leq q_1(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$, and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X, g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. Suppose that there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ such that the condition

$$q_1(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + q(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \leq k[q_2(gu, F(x, y)) + q_2(gv, F(y, x))] \quad (2.31)$$

holds for all $x, y, u, v \in X$. Also, suppose we have the following hypotheses:

(i) $F(X \times X) \subset g(X)$.

(ii) $g(X)$ is a complete subspace of X with respect to the quasi-partial metric q_1 .

Then the mappings F and g have a coincidence point (x, y) satisfying $gx = F(x, y) = F(y, x) = gy$.

Moreover, if F and g are w -compatible, then F and g have a unique common coupled fixed point of the form (u, u) .

Let $g = I_X$ (the identity mapping) in Theorem 2.1 and Corollaries 2.1-2.7. Then we have the following results.

Corollary 2.8 Let q_1 and q_2 be two quasi-metrics on X such that $q_2(x, y) \leq q_1(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$, and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose that there exist k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 , and k_5 in $[0, 1)$ with $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + 2k_4 + k_5 < 1$ such that the condition

$$\begin{aligned} & q_1(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + q_1(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \\ & \leq k_1[q_2(x, u) + q_2(y, v)] + k_2[q_2(x, F(x, y)) + q_2(y, F(y, x))] \\ & \quad + k_3[q_2(u, F(u, v)) + q_2(v, F(v, u))] + k_4[q_2(x, F(u, v)) + q_2(y, F(v, u))] \\ & \quad + k_5[q_2(u, F(x, y)) + q_2(v, F(y, x))] \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

holds for all $x, y, u, v \in X$. If (X, q_1) is a complete quasi-partial metric space, then the mapping F has a unique coupled fixed point of the form (u, u) .

Corollary 2.9 Let (X, q) be a complete quasi-partial metric space, $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose that there exist k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 , and k_5 in $[0, 1)$ with $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + 2k_4 + k_5 < 1$ such that the condition

$$\begin{aligned} & q(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + q(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \\ & \leq k_1[q(x, u) + q(y, v)] + k_2[q(x, F(x, y)) + q(y, F(y, x))] \\ & \quad + k_3[q(u, F(u, v)) + q(v, F(v, u))] + k_4[q(x, F(u, v)) + q(y, F(v, u))] \\ & \quad + k_5[q(u, F(x, y)) + q(v, F(y, x))] \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

holds for all $x, y, u, v \in X$. Then F has a unique coupled fixed point of the form (u, u) .

Remark 2.6 Corollary 2.9 improve and extend Corollary 2.5 of Shatanawi and Pitea [38], the contractive condition is replaced by the new contractive condition defined by (2.35).

Corollary 2.10 Let q_1 and q_2 be two quasi-metrics on X such that $q_2(x, y) \leq q_1(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$, and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose that there exist $a_i \in [0, 1)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 10$) with

$$a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 + 2(a_7 + a_8) + a_9 + a_{10} < 1 \quad (2.34)$$

such that the condition

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \\ \leq a_1 q_2(x, u) + a_2 q_2(y, v) + a_3 q_2(x, F(x, y)) + a_4 q_2(y, F(y, x)) \\ + a_5 q_2(u, F(u, v)) + a_6 q_2(v, F(v, u)) + a_7 q_2(x, F(u, v)) + a_8 q_2(y, F(v, u)) \\ + a_9 q_2(u, F(x, y)) + a_{10} q_2(v, F(y, x)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

holds for all $x, y, u, v \in X$. If (X, q_1) is a complete quasi-partial metric space. Then the mapping F has a unique coupled fixed point of the form (u, u) .

Remark 2.7

- (1) If we take $q_1(x, y) = q_2(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $a_7 = a_8 = a_9 = a_{10} = 0$, then Corollary 2.10 is reduced to Corollary 2.6 of Shatanawi and Pitea [38].
- (2) If we take $q_1(x, y) = q_2(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $a_i = 0$ ($i = 3, 4, 5, \dots, 10$), then Corollary 2.10 extends Theorem 2.1 of Aydi [12] on the class of quasi-partial metric spaces.
- (3) If we take $q_1(x, y) = q_2(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, $a_1 = a_2$ and $a_i = 0$ ($i = 3, 4, 5, \dots, 10$), then Corollary 2.10 extends the Corollary 2.2 of Aydi [12] on the class of quasi-partial metric spaces.
- (4) If we take $q_1(x, y) = q_2(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $a_i = 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10$), then Corollary 2.10 extends Theorem 2.4 of Aydi [12] on the class of quasi-partial metric spaces.
- (5) If we take $q_1(x, y) = q_2(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $a_i = 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10$), then Corollary 2.10 extends Theorem 2.5 of Aydi [12] on the class of quasi-partial metric spaces.
- (6) If we take $q_1(x, y) = q_2(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, $a_3 = a_9$ and $a_i = 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10$), then Corollary 2.10 extends Corollary 2.6 of Aydi [12] on the class of quasi-partial metric spaces.
- (7) If we take $q_1(x, y) = q_2(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, $a_7 = a_9$ and $a_i = 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10$), then Corollary 2.10 extends Corollary 2.7 of Aydi [12] on the class of quasi-partial metric spaces.

Corollary 2.11 Let q_1 and q_2 be two quasi-metrics on X such that $q_2(x, y) \leq q_1(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$, and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose that there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ such that the condition

$$q_1(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + q_1(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \leq k[q_2(x, u) + q_2(y, v)] \quad (2.36)$$

holds for all $x, y, u, v \in X$. If (X, q_1) is a complete quasi-partial metric space. Then the mapping F has a unique coupled fixed point of the form (u, u) .

Remark 2.8 If we take $q_1(x, y) = q_2(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, then Corollary 2.11 is reduced to Corollary 2.7 of Shatanawi and Pitea [38].

Corollary 2.12 Let q_1 and q_2 be two quasi-metrics on X such that $q_2(x, y) \leq q_1(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$, and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose that there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ such that the

condition

$$q_1(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + q(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \leq k[q_2(x, F(x, y)) + q_2(y, F(y, x))] \quad (2.37)$$

holds for all $x, y, u, v \in X$. If (X, q_1) is a complete quasi-partial metric space, then the mapping F has a unique coupled fixed point of the form (u, u) .

Remark 2.9 If we take $q_1(x, y) = q_2(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, then Corollary 2.12 is reduced to Corollary 2.8 of Shatanawi and Pitea [38].

Corollary 2.13 Let q_1 and q_2 be two quasi-metrics on X such that $q_2(x, y) \leq q_1(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$, and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose that there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ such that the condition

$$q_1(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + q(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \leq k[q_2(u, F(u, v)) + q_2(v, F(v, u))] \quad (2.38)$$

holds for all $x, y, u, v \in X$. If (X, q_1) is a complete quasi-partial metric space, then the mapping F has a unique coupled fixed point of the form (u, u) .

Remark 2.10 If we take $q_1(x, y) = q_2(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, then Corollary 2.13 is reduced to Corollary 2.9 of Shatanawi and Pitea [38].

Corollary 2.14 Let q_1 and q_2 be two quasi-metrics on X such that $q_2(x, y) \leq q_1(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$, and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose that there exists $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that the condition

$$q_1(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + q(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \leq k[q_2(x, F(u, v)) + q_2(y, F(v, u))] \quad (2.39)$$

holds for all $x, y, u, v \in X$. If (X, q_1) is a complete quasi-partial metric space, then the mapping F has a unique coupled fixed point of the form (u, u) .

Corollary 2.15 Let q_1 and q_2 be two quasi-metrics on X such that $q_2(x, y) \leq q_1(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$, and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose that there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ such that the condition

$$q_1(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + q(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \leq k[q_2(u, F(x, y)) + q_2(v, F(y, x))] \quad (2.40)$$

holds for all $x, y, u, v \in X$. If (X, q_1) is a complete quasi-partial metric space, then the mapping F has a unique coupled fixed point of the form (u, u) .

Now, we introduce an example to support our results.

Example 2.1 Let $X = [0, 1]$, and two quasi-partial metrics q_1, q_2 on X be given as

$$q_1(x, y) = |x - y| + x \quad \text{and} \quad q_2(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(|x - y| + x)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Also, define $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ as

$$F(x, y) = \frac{x + y}{16} \quad \text{and} \quad gx = \frac{x}{2}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then

- (1) (X, q_1) is a complete quasi-partial metric space.
- (2) $F(X \times X) \subset X$.
- (3) F and g is w -compatible.
- (4) For any $x, y, u, v \in X$, we have

$$q_1(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + q_1(F(y, x) + F(v, u)) \leq \frac{1}{2}(q_2(gx, gu) + q_2(gy, gv)).$$

Proof The proofs of (1), (2), and (3) are clear. Next we show that (4). In fact, for $x, y, u, v \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & q_1(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + q_1(F(y, x) + F(v, u)) \\ &= q_1\left(\frac{x + y}{16}, \frac{u + v}{16}\right) + q_1\left(\frac{y + x}{16}, \frac{v + u}{16}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{8}(|x + y - (u + v)| + (x + y)) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\left(\left|\frac{1}{2}(x + y) - \frac{1}{2}(u + v)\right| + \frac{1}{2}(x + y)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}\left(\left|\frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{2}u\right| + \frac{1}{2}x + \left|\frac{1}{2}y - \frac{1}{2}v\right| + \frac{1}{2}y\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(q_2(gx, gu) + q_2(gy, gv)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, F and g satisfy all the hypotheses of Corollary 2.3. So, F and g have a unique common coupled fixed point. Here $(0, 0)$ is the unique common coupled fixed point of F and g . \square

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

Both authors contributed equally to this work. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Institute of Applied Mathematics and Department of Mathematics, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310036, China. ²College of Statistics and Mathematics, Yunnan University of Finance and Economics, Kunming, Yunnan 650221, China.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11271105, 11361070), the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (Y6110287, LY12A01030), and the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (ZR2013AL015).

Received: 21 November 2013 Accepted: 30 December 2013 Published: 22 Jan 2014

References

1. Matthews, SG: Partial metric topology. In: General Topology and Its Applications. Proc. 8th Summer Conf. Queen's College, 1992, vol. 728, pp. 183-197. Ann. New York Acad. Sci., New York (1994)

2. Abdeljawad, T, Karapinar, E, Taş, K: Existence and uniqueness of a common fixed point on partial metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24**(11), 1900-1904 (2011)
3. Abdeljawad, T, Karapinar, E, Taş, K: A generalized contraction principle with control functions on partial metric spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **63**(3), 716-719 (2012)
4. Abdeljawad, T: Fixed points and generalized weakly contractive mappings in partial metric spaces. *Math. Comput. Model.* **54**(11-12), 2923-2927 (2011)
5. Altun, I, Acar, Ö: Fixed point theorems for weak contractions in the sense of Berinde on partial metric spaces. *Topol. Appl.* **159**, 2642-2648 (2012)
6. Altun, I, Erduran, A: Fixed point theorems for monotone mappings on partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2011**, Article ID 508730 (2011). doi:10.1155/2011/508730
7. Altun, I, Simsek, H: Some fixed point theorems on dualistic partial metric spaces. *J. Adv. Math. Stud.* **1**(1-2), 1-8 (2008)
8. Altun, I, Sola, F, Simsek, H: Generalized contractions on partial metric spaces. *Topol. Appl.* **157**(18), 2778-2785 (2010)
9. Altun, I, Sadarangani, K: Corrigendum to 'Generalized contractions on partial metric spaces' [*Topology Appl.* **157**(18), 2778-2785 (2010)]. *Topol. Appl.* **158**(13), 1738-1740 (2011)
10. Amiri, P, Rezapour, S: Fixed point of multi-valued operators on partial metric spaces. *Anal. Theory Appl.* **29**(2), 158-168 (2013). doi:10.4208/ata.2013.v29.n2.7
11. Aydi, H: Some fixed point results in ordered partial metric spaces. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **4**(2), 1-12 (2011)
12. Aydi, H: Some coupled fixed point results on partial metric spaces. *Int. J. Math. Sci.* **2011**, Article ID 647091 (2011)
13. Aydi, H: Fixed point theorems for generalized weakly contractive in ordered partial metric spaces. *J. Nonlinear Anal. Optim., Theory Appl.* **2**(2), 269-284 (2011)
14. Aydi, H, Karapinar, E, Shatanawi, W: Coupled fixed point results for (ψ, φ) -weakly contractive condition in ordered partial metric spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **62**, 4449-4460 (2011)
15. Bari, CD, Milojević, M, Radenović, S, Vetro, P: Common fixed points for self-mappings on partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2012**, Article ID 140 (2012). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2012-140
16. Klin-eam, C: Modified proof of Caristi's fixed point theorem on partial metric spaces. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 210 (2013). doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-210
17. Chen, C, Zhu, C: Fixed point theorems for weakly C -contractive mappings in partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 107 (2013). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-107
18. Ćirić, L, Samet, B, Aydi, H, Vetro, C: Common fixed point results of generalized contractions on partial metric spaces and application. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218**, 2398-2406 (2011)
19. Golubović, Z, Kadelburg, Z, Radenović, S: Coupled coincidence points of mappings in ordered partial metric spaces. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2012**, Article ID 192581 (2012). doi:10.1155/2012/192581
20. Karapinar, E, Erhan, I: Fixed point theorems for operators on partial metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24**, 1894-1899 (2011)
21. Nashine, HK, Kadelburg, Z, Radenović, S: Common fixed point theorems for weakly isotone increasing mappings in ordered partial metric spaces. *Math. Comput. Model.* **57**, 2355-2365 (2013)
22. Oltra, S, Valero, O: Banach's fixed point theorem for partial metric spaces. *Rend. Ist. Mat. Univ. Trieste* **36**(1-2), 17-26 (2004)
23. Romaguera, S: A Kirk type characterization of completeness for partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2010**, Article ID 493298 (2010). doi:10.1155/2010/493298
24. Romaguera, S: Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions on partial metric spaces. *Topol. Appl.* **159**, 194-199 (2010)
25. Samet, B, Rajović, M, Lazović, R, Stojković, R: Common fixed point results for nonlinear contractions in ordered partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2011**, Article ID 71 (2011). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2011-71
26. Shatanawi, W, Nashine, HK: A generalization of Banach's contraction principle of nonlinear contraction in a partial metric spaces. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **5**, 37-43 (2012)
27. Shatanawi, W, Nashine, HK, Tahat, N: Generalization of some coupled fixed point results on partial metric spaces. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **2012**, Article ID 686801 (2012)
28. Shatanawi, W, Samet, B, Abbas, M: Coupled fixed point theorems for mixed monotone mappings in ordered partial metric spaces. *Math. Comput. Model.* **55**, 680-687 (2012)
29. Shatanawi, W, Postolache, M: Coincidence and fixed point results for generalized weak contractions in the sense of Berinde on partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 54 (2013). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-54
30. Radenović, S: Remarks on some coupled fixed point results in partial metric spaces. *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.* **18**(1), 39-50 (2013)
31. Nashine, HK, Kadelburg, Z, Radenović, S: Fixed point theorems via various cyclic contractive conditions in partial metric spaces. *Publ. Inst. Math.* **93**(107), 69-93 (2013)
32. Valero, O: On Banach fixed point theorems for partial metric spaces. *Appl. Gen. Topol.* **6**(2), 229-240 (2005)
33. Haghi, RH, Rezapour, S, Shahzad, N: Be careful on partial metric fixed point results. *Topol. Appl.* **160**, 450-454 (2013)
34. Karapinar, E, Erhan, İ, Öztürk, A: Fixed point theorems on quasi-partial metric spaces. *Math. Comput. Model.* **57**, 2442-2448 (2013). doi:10.1016/j.mcm.2012.06.036
35. Bhaskar, TG, Lakshmikantham, V: Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications. *Nonlinear Anal.* **65**, 1379-1393 (2006)
36. Lakshmikantham, V, Ćirić, L: Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **70**, 4341-4349 (2009)
37. Abbas, M, Khan, MA, Radenović, S: Common coupled fixed point theorem in cone metric space for w -compatible mappings. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **217**, 195-202 (2010). doi:10.1016/j.amc.2010.05.042
38. Shatanawi, W, Pitea, A: Some coupled fixed point theorems in quasi-partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 153 (2013). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-153
39. Abbas, M, Khan, AR, Nazir, T: Coupled common fixed point results in two generalized metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **217**, 6328-6336 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.amc.2011.01.006
40. Abbas, M, Nazir, T, Radenović, S: Common fixed point of generalized weakly contractive maps in partially ordered G -metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218**(18), 9383-9395 (2012)

41. Abbas, M, Sintunavarat, W, Kumam, P: Coupled fixed point of generalized contractive mappings on partially ordered G -metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2012**, Article ID 31 (2012). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2012-31
42. Altun, I, Simsek, H: Some fixed point theorems on ordered metric spaces and application. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2010**, Article ID 621469 (2010). doi:10.1155/2010/621469
43. Aydi, H, Damjanović, B, Samet, B, Shatanawi, W: Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered G -metric spaces. *Math. Comput. Model.* **54**(9-10), 2443-2450 (2011)
44. Aydi, H, Postolache, M, Shatanawi, W: Coupled fixed point results for (ψ, φ) -weakly contractive mappings in ordered G -metric spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **63**(1), 298-309 (2012)
45. Cho, YJ, Rhoades, BE, Saadati, R, Samet, B, Shatanawi, W: Nonlinear coupled fixed point theorems in ordered generalized metric spaces with integral type. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2012**, Article ID 8 (2012). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2012-8
46. Choudhury, BS, Maity, P: Coupled fixed point results in generalized partially ordered G -metric spaces. *Math. Comput. Model.* **54**, 73-79 (2011)
47. Choudhury, BS, Metiya, N, Postolache, M: A generalized weak contraction principle with applications to coupled coincidence point problems. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 152 (2013). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-152
48. Gu, F, Yin, Y: A new common coupled fixed point theorem in generalized metric space and applications to integral equations. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 266 (2013). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-266
49. Gu, F, Zhou, S: Coupled common fixed point theorems for a pair of commuting mappings in partially ordered G -metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 64 (2013). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-64
50. Hong, S: Fixed points of multivalued operators in ordered metric spaces with applications. *Nonlinear Anal.* **72**(11), 3929-3942 (2010). doi:10.1016/j.na.2010.01.013
51. Karapinar, E: Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in cone metric spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59**, 3656-3668 (2010)
52. Luong, NV, Thuan, NX: Coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered G -metric spaces. *Math. Comput. Model.* **55**(3-4), 1601-1609 (2012)
53. Mustafa, Z, Aydi, H, Karapinar, E: Mixed g -monotone property and quadruple fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric space. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2012**, Article ID 71 (2012). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2012-71
54. Qiu, Z, Hong, S: Coupled fixed points for multivalued mappings in fuzzy metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 162 (2013). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-162
55. Samet, B: Coupled fixed point theorems for a generalized Meir-Keeler contraction in partially ordered metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **72**, 4508-4517 (2010)
56. Saadati, R, Vaezpour, SM, Vetro, P, Rhoades, BE: Fixed point theorems in generalized partially ordered G -metric spaces. *Math. Comput. Model.* **52**(5-6), 797-810 (2010)
57. Sabetghadam, F, Masiha, HP, Sanatpour, AH: Some coupled fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2009**, Article ID 125426 (2009). doi:10.1155/2009/125426
58. Sedghi, S, Altun, I, Shobe, N: Coupled fixed point theorems for contractions in fuzzy metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **72**, 1298-1304 (2010)
59. Shatanawi, W: On w -compatible mappings and common coupled coincidence point in cone metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **25**, 925-931 (2012)
60. Shatanawi, W: Fixed point theorems for nonlinear weakly C -contractive mappings in metric spaces. *Math. Comput. Model.* **54**(11-12), 2816-2826 (2011)
61. Shatanawi, W, Abbas, M, Nazir, T: Common coupled coincidence and coupled fixed point results in two generalized metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2011**, Article ID 80 (2011). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2011-80
62. Shatanawi, W, Samet, B, Abbas, M: Coupled fixed point theorems for mixed monotone mappings in ordered partial metric spaces. *Math. Comput. Model.* **55**, 680-687 (2012)

10.1186/1687-1812-2014-19

Cite this article as: Gu and Wang: Some coupled fixed-point theorems in two quasi-partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2014, **2014**:19

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com